arXiv:1608.01578v1 [math.OC] 4 Aug 2016

Basis for the linear space of matrices under equivalence ⋆

Kuize Zhang

College of Automation, Harbin Engineering University, 150001, Harbin, PR China

Abstract

The semi-tensor product (STP) of matrices which was proposed by Daizhan Cheng in 2001 [2], is a natural generalization of the standard matrix product and well defined at every two finite-dimensional matrices. In 2016, Cheng proposed a new concept of semi-tensor addition (STA) which is a natural generalization of the standard matrix addition and well defined at every two finite-dimensional matrices with the same ratio between the numbers of rows and columns [1]. In addition, an identify equivalence relation between matrices was defined in [1], STP and STA were proved valid for the corresponding identify equivalence classes, and the corresponding quotient space was endowed with an algebraic structure and a manifold structure. In this follow-up paper, we give a new concise basis for the quotient space, which also shows that the Lie algebra corresponding to the quotient space is of countably infinite dimension.

Key words: identity equivalence, semi-tensor addition, semi-tensor product, algebraic structure, basis

1 Introduction

In this section, we introduce preliminaries which have been shown in [2,1].

1.1 Semi-tensor product

In this paper, we use $\mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$ to denote the set of $m\times n$ real matrices, where m,n are two positive integers.

Definition 1 *Let $A\in\mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$, $B\in\mathcal{M}_{p\times q}$. Denote by $t=\mathrm{lcm}\{n,p\}$, the least common multiple of n and p. Then*

(1) the left semi-tensor product (STP) of A and B is defined as

$$A\ltimes B:=\left(A\otimes I_{t/n}\right)\left(B\otimes I_{t/p}\right), \tag{1}$$

(2) the right STP of A and B is defined as

$$A\rtimes B:=\left(I_{t/n}\otimes A\right)\left(I_{t/p}\otimes B\right), \tag{2}$$

where $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product [**?**], [**?**].

In the following we only discuss the left STP, and briefly call it STP.

Remark 2 *For every $A\in\mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$ and $B\in\mathcal{M}_{p\times q}$, $A\ltimes B\in\mathcal{M}_{(mt)/n\times(qt)/p}$, where $t=\mathrm{lcm}(n,p)$.*

1.2 Semi-tensor addition

Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_\mu:=\{M\in\mathcal{M}_{m\times n}\,|m,n\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\ m/n=\mu\},\quad \mu\in\mathbb{Q}_+,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathbb{Q}_+$ denote the sets of positive integers and positive rational numbers, respectively. Denote

$$\mathcal{M}=\bigcup_{\mu\in\mathbb{Q}_+}\mathcal{M}_\mu. \tag{3}$$

⋆ This work was supported partly by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. HEUCF160404) and Natural Science Foundation of Heilongjiang Province of China (No. LC2016023).

Note that the right hand side of (3) is a partition of the left hand side of (3). That is,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu_1} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\mu_2} = \emptyset, \quad \forall \mu_1 \neq \mu_2.$$

Definition 3 *Let $A,\ B \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Precisely, $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_{p\times q}$, and $m/n = p/q = \mu$. Set $t = \mathrm{lcm}\{m, p\}$.*

(1) The left semi-tensor addition (STA) of A and B, denote by $\ltimes\!\!\!+$, is defined as

$$A \ltimes\!\!\!+ B := \left(A \otimes I_{t/m}\right) + \left(B \otimes I_{t/p}\right). \tag{4}$$

We also denote the left semi-tensor subtraction as

$$A \ltimes\!\!\!- B := A \ltimes\!\!\!+ (-B). \tag{5}$$

(2) The right STA of A and B, denote by $+\!\!\!\rtimes$, is defined as

$$A +\!\!\!\rtimes B := \left(I_{t/m} \otimes A\right) + \left(I_{t/p} \otimes B\right). \tag{6}$$

We also denote the right semi-tensor subtraction as

$$A -\!\!\!\rtimes B := A +\!\!\!\rtimes (-B). \tag{7}$$

Remark 4 *Let $\sigma \in \{\ltimes\!\!\!+, \ltimes\!\!\!-, +\!\!\!\rtimes, -\!\!\!\rtimes\}$ be one of the four binary operations, and μ_1 and μ_2 two positive rational numbers.*

(1) If $\mu_1 = \mu_2 =: \mu$, then for all $A,\ B \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$, $A\sigma B \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$.
(2) For all $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu_1}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu_2}$, $A \ltimes B \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu_1\mu_2}$.
(3) If A and B are as in Definition 3, then $A\sigma B \in \mathcal{M}_{t\times \frac{nt}{m}}$.

Similar to the case for STP, in the following we only discuss the left STA, and briefly call it STA.

1.3 Identity equivalence relation

Definition 5 *Let $A,\ B \in \mathcal{M}$ be two matrices.*

(1) A and B are said to be left identity equivalent (LIE), denoted by $A \sim_\ell B$, if there exist two identity matrices I_s, I_t, $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that

$$A \otimes I_s = B \otimes I_t.$$

(2) A and B are said to be right identity equivalent (RIE), denoted by $A \sim_r B$, if there exist two identity matrices I_s, I_t, $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that

$$I_s \otimes A = I_t \otimes B.$$

Remark 6 *It is easy to verify that the LIE $\sim_\ell$ (similarly, RIE $\sim_r$) is an equivalence relation. That is, it is (i) reflexive ($A \sim_\ell A$); (ii) symmetric (if $A \sim_\ell B$, then $B \sim_\ell A$); and (iii) transitive (if $A \sim_\ell B$, and $B \sim_\ell C$, then $A \sim_\ell C$).*

In the sequel we only consider the left LIE, call it LIE for short, and denote it briefly by $\sim$.

Definition 7 *We are given a matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}$.*

(1) The equivalent class of A, denoted by

$$\langle A \rangle := \{B \mid B \sim A\}.$$

(2) A is called reducible, if there is an identify matrix I_s, where $s \geq 2$, and a matrix B, such that $A = B \otimes I_s$. Otherwise, A is called irreducible.

Theorem 8 *For every $\bar{A} \in \mathcal{M}$, in $\langle \bar{A} \rangle$ there exists a unique $A_0 \in \langle \bar{A} \rangle$ such that A_0 is irreducible.*

By Theorem 8, for each $\langle A \rangle$ and all matrices $B \in \mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$ and $C \in \mathcal{M}_{p\times q}$ both in $\langle A \rangle$, one has $m/n = p/q$. Then the matrix in $\langle A \rangle$ that has the minimal number of rows is the unique irreducible element of $\langle A \rangle$.

The following corollary reveals the structure of identity equivalence classes.

Corollary 9 *Let A_0 be the only irreducible element in $\langle A \rangle$. Then*

$$\langle A \rangle = \{A_0 \otimes I_s \mid s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}. \tag{8}$$

Theorem 10 *Consider the algebraic system $(\mathcal{M}_\mu, \ltimes\!\!\!+)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. The LIE $\sim$ is a congruence with respect to $\ltimes\!\!\!+$.*

Proof Arbitrarily chosen $\tilde{A}, A, \tilde{B}, B \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$, we assume $\tilde{A} \sim A$ and $\tilde{B} \sim B$. To prove this theorem, we need to prove $\tilde{A} \ltimes\!\!\!+ \tilde{B} \sim A \ltimes\!\!\!+ B$. We give an alternative proof compared to the one in [1].

By Theorem 8 and Corollary 9, there exist unique irreducible matrices $A_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu n\times n}$ and $B_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu m\times m}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} = A_0 \otimes I_s,\ A = A_0 \otimes I_t, \\ \tilde{B} = B_0 \otimes I_p,\ B = B_0 \otimes I_q \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for some positive integers s, t, p, q. Set $T := \mathrm{lcm}(ns, mp)$, $S := \mathrm{lcm}(nt, mq)$, and $R := \mathrm{lcm}(n, m)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A} \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-} \tilde{B} &= \left(A_0 \otimes I_s \otimes I_{T/ns}\right) + \left(B_0 \otimes I_p \otimes I_{T/mp}\right) \\ &= \left(A_0 \otimes I_{R/n} \otimes I_{T/R}\right) + \left(B_0 \otimes I_{R/m} \otimes I_{T/R}\right) \\ &= \left(A_0 \otimes I_{R/n} + B_0 \otimes I_{R/m}\right) \otimes I_{T/R}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Similarly, we have

$$A \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-} B = \left(A_0 \otimes I_{R/n} + B_0 \otimes I_{R/m}\right) \otimes I_{S/R}. \tag{11}$$

(10) and (11) imply $\tilde{A} \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-} \tilde{B} \sim A \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-} B$.
□

Given $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, define the quotient space Σ_μ as

$$\Sigma_\mu := \mathcal{M}_\mu / \sim = \{\langle A \rangle \,|A \in \mathcal{M}_\mu\}. \tag{12}$$

According to Theorem 10, the operation $\boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-}$ can be extended to Σ_μ as

$$\langle A \rangle \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-} \langle B \rangle := \langle A \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-} B \rangle, \quad \forall \langle A \rangle, \langle B \rangle \in \Sigma_\mu. \tag{13}$$

Theorem 11 *Using the definition in (13), the quotient space $(\Sigma_\mu, \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-})$ is a vector space.*

Proposition 12 *Consider the algebraic system $(\mathcal{M}, \ltimes)$. The LIE $\sim$ is a congruence with respect to $\ltimes$.*

Proof The proof of this Proposition is similar to the one for Theorem 10.

Arbitrarily given two identity equivalence classes $\langle \bar{A} \rangle, \langle \bar{B} \rangle \subset \mathcal{M}$, by Corollary 9, we have $\langle \bar{A} \rangle = \{A_0 \otimes I_s | s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\langle \bar{B} \rangle = \{B_0 \otimes I_t | t = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$, where A_0 and B_0 are the unique irreducible elements of $\langle \bar{A} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{B} \rangle$, respectively. Arbitrarily chosen $A_0 \otimes I_{s_1}, A_0 \otimes I_{s_2} \in \langle \bar{A} \rangle$, and $B_0 \otimes I_{t_1}, B_0 \otimes I_{t_2} \in \langle \bar{B} \rangle$, we need to prove $(A_0 \otimes I_{s_1}) \ltimes (B_0 \otimes I_{t_1}) \sim (A_0 \otimes I_{s_2}) \ltimes (B_0 \otimes I_{t_2})$. Denote the size of A_0 and B_0 by $m \times n$ and $p \times q$, respectively. Set $\mathrm{lcm}(ns_1, pt_1) =: T_1$, $\mathrm{lcm}(ns_2, pt_2) =: T_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&((A_0 \otimes I_{s_1}) \ltimes (B_0 \otimes I_{t_1})) \otimes I_{T_2} \\ &= \left(\left(A_0 \otimes I_{s_1} \otimes I_{\frac{T_1}{ns_1}}\right)\left(B_0 \otimes I_{t_1} \otimes I_{\frac{T_1}{pt_1}}\right)\right) \otimes (I_{T_2} I_{T_2}) \\ &= \left(\left(A_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_1}{n}}\right)\left(B_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_1}{p}}\right)\right) \otimes (I_{T_2} I_{T_2}) \\ &= \left(A_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_1}{n}} \otimes I_{T_2}\right)\left(B_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_1}{p}} \otimes I_{T_2}\right) \\ &= \left(A_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_2}{n}} \otimes I_{T_1}\right)\left(B_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_2}{p}} \otimes I_{T_1}\right) \\ &= \left(\left(A_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_2}{n}}\right)\left(B_0 \otimes I_{\frac{T_2}{p}}\right)\right) \otimes I_{T_1} \\ &= \left(\left(A_0 \otimes I_{s_2} \otimes I_{\frac{T_2}{ns_2}}\right)\left(B_0 \otimes I_{t_2} \otimes I_{\frac{T_2}{pt_2}}\right)\right) \otimes I_{T_1} \\ &= ((A_0 \otimes I_{s_2}) \ltimes (B_0 \otimes I_{t_2})) \otimes I_{T_1},\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

i.e., $(A_0 \otimes I_{s_1}) \ltimes (B_0 \otimes I_{t_1}) \sim (A_0 \otimes I_{s_2}) \ltimes (B_0 \otimes I_{t_2})$, which completes the proof. □

According to Proposition 12 and Remark 4, $\ltimes$ is well defined over the quotient product space $\Sigma_{\mu_1} \times \Sigma_{\mu_2}$ for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, and maps $\Sigma_{\mu_1} \times \Sigma_{\mu_2}$ to $\Sigma_{\mu_1 \mu_2}$ according to the following operation: For all $\langle A \rangle \in \Sigma_{\mu_1}, \langle B \rangle \in \Sigma_{\mu_2}$ and all $\tilde{A} \in \langle A \rangle, \tilde{B} \in \langle B \rangle$, $\langle A \rangle \ltimes \langle B \rangle =: \left\langle \tilde{A} \ltimes \tilde{B} \right\rangle$.

Theorem 13 *The algebraic system $(\Sigma_1, \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-}, \ltimes)$ with Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined in (15)*

$$[\langle A \rangle, \langle B \rangle] := \langle A \rangle \ltimes \langle B \rangle - \langle B \rangle \ltimes \langle A \rangle \tag{15}$$

is a Lie algebra, which is called a multi-dimensional Lie algebra.

2 Basis for the quotient space

In this section, we show that for each positive rational number μ, the quotient space $(\Sigma_\mu, \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-})$ is of countably infinite dimension, give a generator for the space, and then use the generator to construct a basis for the space.

Proposition 14 *For every positive rational number $\mu = p/q$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are co-prime, the vector space $(\Sigma_\mu, \boldsymbol{+\!\!\!-})$ is of countably infinite dimension, and has a generator*

$$\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le i_{kp} \le kp \\ 1 \le j_{kq} \le kq}} \left\{\left\langle E^{kp \times kq}_{i_{kp} j_{kq}} \right\rangle\right\}, \tag{16}$$

where and hereinafter $E_{i_{kp}j_{kq}}^{kp\times kq}$ *denotes the* $kp\times kq$ *matrix with the* (i_{kp},j_{kq})*-th entry equal to* 1 *and all other entries* 0.

Proof Arbitrarily chosen $\langle A\rangle$ in Σ_μ such that $A=(a_{ij})_{1\le i\le k_0p,1\le j\le k_0q}\in\mathcal{M}_{k_0p\times k_0q}$ is the unique irreducible matrix of $\langle A\rangle$, we have

$$\langle A\rangle=\underset{\substack{1\le i\le k_0p\\1\le j\le k_0q}}{\Vdash} a_{ij}\left\langle E_{ij}^{k_0p\times k_0q}\right\rangle$$

by Theorem 10. Hence the set (16) of countably infinite cardinality is a generator of the vector space $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$, and the dimension of $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$ is at most countably infinite.

We claim that the dimension of $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$ is countably infinite. Suppose the contrary: $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$ is of finite dimension, then there exists a positive integer l such that

$$\bigcup_{1\le k\le l}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le i_{kp}\le kp\\1\le j_{kq}\le kq}}\left\{\left\langle E_{i_{kp}j_{kq}}^{kp\times kq}\right\rangle\right\}$$

is a generator of $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$. Hence for all matrices A in $\mathcal{M}_{(l+1)p\times(l+1)q}$, $\langle A\rangle$ can be generated by

$$\bigcup_{\substack{k\le l\\k|(l+1)}}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le i_{kp}\le kp\\1\le j_{kq}\le kq}}\left\{\left\langle E_{i_{kp}j_{kq}}^{kp\times kq}\right\rangle\right\}. \tag{17}$$

It is not difficult to obtain that $\left\langle E_{12}^{(l+1)p\times(l+1)q}\right\rangle$ cannot be generated by (17), which is a contradiction, and hence completes the proof. □

Note that for each positive integer l, the subset

$$\bigcup_{k=l}^{\infty}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le i_{kp}\le kp\\1\le j_{kq}\le kq}}\left\{\left\langle E_{i_{kp}j_{kq}}^{kp\times kq}\right\rangle\right\}$$

of (16) is a generator of the vector space $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$, since for all positive integers k_1,k_2 such that k_1 divides k_2,

$$\bigcup_{\substack{1\le i_{k_1p}\le k_1p\\1\le j_{k_1q}\le k_1q}}\left\{\left\langle E_{i_{k_1p}j_{k_1q}}^{k_1p\times k_1q}\right\rangle\right\}$$

is generated by

$$\bigcup_{\substack{1\le i_{k_2p}\le k_2p\\1\le j_{k_2q}\le k_2q}}\left\{\left\langle E_{i_{k_2p}j_{k_2q}}^{k_2p\times k_2q}\right\rangle\right\}.$$

That is, (16) is not a basis of $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$. Next we use (16) to construct a basis for the vector space $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$.

Theorem 15 *For every positive rational number* $\mu=p/q$*, where* $p,q\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ *are co-prime, the following countably infinite set of equivalence classes*

$$\mathsf{D}_\mu\cup\mathsf{N}_\mu \tag{18}$$

is a basis for the vector space $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$*, where*[1]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{D}_\mu&=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le j\le i\\\gcd(i,j)=1}}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le k\le p\\1\le l\le q}}\left\{\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{jj}^{i\times i}\right\rangle\right\},\\ \mathsf{N}_\mu&=\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le j_1,j_2\le i\\j_1\ne j_2\\\gcd(i,j_1,j_2)=1}}\bigcup_{\substack{1\le k\le p\\1\le l\le q}}\left\{\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i}\right\rangle\right\},\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where gcd() *denotes the greatest common divisor of numbers in* ().

Proof To prove this theorem, we need to show that i) (18) is a generator of $(\Sigma_\mu,\Vdash)$ and ii) every finite number of elements of (18) are linearly independent. Fix co-prime positive integers p and q.

i): We next prove that for all positive integers i,k,l,j_1,j_2 satisfying $1\le k\le p$, $1\le l\le q$ and $1\le j_1,j_2\le i$, $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i}\right\rangle$ is generated by (18). We divide the proof into two cases that $j_1=j_2=:j$ and $j_1\ne j_2$.

$j_1=j_2$:

If $\gcd(i,j)=1$, then $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{jj}^{i\times i}\right\rangle\in\mathsf{D}_\mu$, and $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{jj}^{i\times i}\right\rangle$ is generated by (18). Next we assume that $\gcd(i,j)>1$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}f_1+f_2+\cdots+f_{m'}&=j,\\ g_1+g_2+\cdots+g_m&=j-1,\end{aligned}$$

[1] Note that in (19), $E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{jj}^{i\times i}$ is the unique irreducible element of $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{jj}^{i\times i}\right\rangle$, and $E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i}$ is the unique irreducible element of $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q}\otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i}\right\rangle$.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1 = \gcd(i, j),\\
&f_2 = \gcd(i, j - f_1),\\
&\vdots\\
&f_{m'} = \gcd\left(i, j - \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{m'} f_\alpha\right),\\
&g_1 = \gcd(i, j - 1),\\
&g_2 = \gcd(i, j - 1 - g_1),\\
&\vdots\\
&g_m = \gcd\left(i, j - 1 - \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} g_\alpha\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

We have

$$E_{jj}^{i\times i} = \left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n'=1}^{m'} E_{\bar{j}'_{n'}\bar{j}'_{n'}}^{\bar{i}'_{n'}\times\bar{i}'_{n'}}\right) \vdash \left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n=1}^{m} E_{\bar{j}_n\bar{j}_n}^{\bar{i}_n\times\bar{i}_n}\right), \tag{21}$$

where $\bar{i}'_{n'} = i/f_{n'}$, $\bar{j}'_{n'} = (j - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n'-1} f_\alpha)/f_{n'}$, $n' = 1, 2, \cdots, m'$; $\bar{i}_n = i/g_n$, $\bar{j}_n = (j - 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} g_\alpha)/g_n$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Then $\bar{j}'_{n'} \le \bar{i}'_{n'}$, $\gcd(\bar{i}'_{n'}, \bar{j}'_{n'}) = 1$, $\bar{j}_n \le \bar{i}_n$, $\gcd(\bar{i}_n, \bar{j}_n) = 1$, $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}'_{n'}\bar{j}'_{n'}}^{\bar{i}'_{n'}\times\bar{i}'_{n'}} \right\rangle \in \mathsf{D}_\mu$, $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}_n\bar{j}_n}^{\bar{i}_n\times\bar{i}_n} \right\rangle \in \mathsf{D}_\mu$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, p$, $l = 1, 2, \cdots, q$, $n' = 1, 2, \cdots, m'$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, and by Theorem 10,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{jj}^{i\times i} \right\rangle = &\left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n'=1}^{m'} \left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}'_{n'}\bar{j}'_{n'}}^{\bar{i}'_{n'}\times\bar{i}'_{n'}} \right\rangle\right) \vdash\\
&\left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n=1}^{m} \left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}_n\bar{j}_n}^{\bar{i}_n\times\bar{i}_n} \right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

is generated by D_μ.

$j_1 \neq j_2$:

Similar to the former case, if $\gcd(i, j_1, j_2) = 1$, then $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i} \right\rangle \in \mathsf{N}_\mu$, and $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i} \right\rangle$ is generated by (18). Next we consider $\gcd(i, j_1, j_2) > 1$, and assume that $j_1 < j_2$ without loss of generality. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_{m'} = j_1,\\
&g_1 + g_2 + \cdots + g_m = j_1 - 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1 = \gcd(i, j_1, j_2),\\
&f_2 = \gcd(i, j_1 - f_1, j_2 - f_1),\\
&\vdots\\
&f_{m'} = \gcd\left(i, j_1 - \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{m'} f_\alpha, j_2 - \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{m'} f_\alpha\right),\\
&g_1 = \gcd(i, j_1 - 1, j_2 - 1),\\
&g_2 = \gcd(i, j_1 - 1 - g_1, j_2 - 1 - g_1),\\
&\vdots\\
&g_m = \gcd\left(i, j_1 - 1 - \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} g_\alpha, j_2 - 1 - \sum\nolimits_{\alpha=1}^{m-1} g_\alpha\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We have

$$E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i} = \left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n'=1}^{m'} E_{\bar{j}'_{1n'}\bar{j}'_{2n'}}^{\bar{i}'_{n'}\times\bar{i}'_{n'}}\right) \vdash \left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n=1}^{m} E_{\bar{j}_{1n}\bar{j}_{1n}}^{\bar{i}_n\times\bar{i}_n}\right), \tag{24}$$

where $\bar{i}'_{n'} = i/f_{n'}$, $\bar{j}'_{1n'} = (j_1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n'-1} f_\alpha)/f_{n'}$, $\bar{j}'_{2n'} = (j_2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n'-1} f_\alpha)/f_{n'}$, $n' = 1, 2, \cdots, m'$; $\bar{i}_n = i/g_n$, $\bar{j}_{1n} = (j_1 - 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} g_\alpha)/g_n$, $\bar{j}_{2n} = (j_2 - 1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} g_\alpha)/g_n$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Then $\bar{j}'_{1n'}, \bar{j}'_{2n'} \le \bar{i}'_{n'}$, $\gcd(\bar{i}'_{n'}, \bar{j}'_{1n'}, \bar{j}'_{2n'}) = 1$, $\bar{j}_{1n}, \bar{j}_{2n} \le \bar{i}_n$, $\gcd(\bar{i}_n, \bar{j}_{1n}, \bar{j}_{2n}) = 1$, $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}'_{1n'}\bar{j}'_{2n'}}^{\bar{i}'_{n'}\times\bar{i}'_{n'}} \right\rangle \in \mathsf{N}_\mu$, $\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}_{1n}\bar{j}_{2n}}^{\bar{i}_n\times\bar{i}_n} \right\rangle \in \mathsf{N}_\mu$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, p$, $l = 1, 2, \cdots, q$, $n' = 1, 2, \cdots, m'$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, and by Theorem 10,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{j_1j_2}^{i\times i} \right\rangle = &\left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n'=1}^{m'} \left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}'_{1n'}\bar{j}'_{2n'}}^{\bar{i}'_{n'}\times\bar{i}'_{n'}} \right\rangle\right) \vdash\\
&\left(\mathop{\Vdots}_{n=1}^{m} \left\langle E_{kl}^{p\times q} \otimes E_{\bar{j}_{1n}\bar{j}_{2n}}^{\bar{i}_n\times\bar{i}_n} \right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

is generated by N_μ.

Based on the above, we have (16) is generated by (18), then by Proposition 14, (18) is a generator of $(\Sigma_\mu, \Vdots)$. Besides, by (22) and (25) we have every element of $(\Sigma_\mu, \Vdots)$ is represented uniquely as a linear combination of finitely many elements of (18).

ii): To prove that every finite number of elements of (18) are linearly independent, we need to prove that every finite number of elements of D_μ are linearly independent and every finite number of elements of N_μ are linearly independent, because in each $E_{jj}^{i\times i}$, only diagonal entries

can be nonzero, and in each $E^{i\times i}_{j_1 j_2}$ with $j_1 \neq j_2$, only nondiagonal entries can be nonzero.

To prove that every finite number of elements of D_μ are linearly independent, we need to prove that for all positive integers k, l, n satisfying that $1 \leq k \leq p$ and $1 \leq l \leq q$,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{\substack{1\leq j\leq i \\ \gcd(i,j)=1}} \left\{\left\langle E^{p\times q}_{kl} \otimes E^{i\times i}_{jj}\right\rangle\right\} \tag{26}$$

are linearly independent. Write $\operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \cdots, n) =: t$, then (26) equals

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{\substack{1\leq j\leq i \\ \gcd(i,j)=1}} \left\{\left\langle E^{p\times q}_{kl} \otimes E^{i\times i}_{jj} \otimes I_{t/i}\right\rangle\right\}. \tag{27}$$

What is left is to prove the set

$$\{jt/i | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq i, \gcd(i, j) = 1\} \tag{28}$$

has the same cardinality as (27), because for each $E^{i\times i}_{jj} \otimes I_{t/i}$, the $(jt/i, jt/i)$-th entry equals 1, and either $jt/i = t$ or the (s, s)-th entry equals 0 for each ineger $s > jt/i$.

Suppose there exist positive integers i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 such that $1 \leq j_1 \leq i_1$, $1 \leq j_2 \leq i_2$, $\gcd(i_1, j_1) = \gcd(i_2, j_2) = 1$, and $j_1 t/i_1 = j_2 t/i_2$, then $i_1 = i_2$ and $j_1 = j_2$. Hence the set (28) has the same cardinality as the set (27).

To prove that every finite number of elements of N_μ are linearly independent, similar to the case for D_μ, we need to prove that for all positive integers k, l, n satisfying that $n \geq 2$, $1 \leq k \leq p$ and $1 \leq l \leq q$,

$$\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} \bigcup_{\substack{1\leq j_1,j_2\leq i \\ j_1\neq j_2 \\ \gcd(i,j_1,j_2)=1}} \left\{\left\langle E^{p\times q}_{kl} \otimes E^{i\times i}_{j_1 j_2}\right\rangle\right\} \tag{29}$$

are linearly independent. Write $\operatorname{lcm}(2, \cdots, n) =: t$, then (29) equals

$$\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} \bigcup_{\substack{1\leq j_1,j_2\leq i \\ j_1\neq j_2 \\ \gcd(i,j_1,j_2)=1}} \left\{\left\langle E^{p\times q}_{kl} \otimes E^{i\times i}_{j_1 j_2} \otimes I_{t/i}\right\rangle\right\}. \tag{30}$$

What is left is to prove the set

$$\{(j_1 t/i, j_2 t/i) | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq i, j_1 \neq j_2, \gcd(i, j_1, j_2) = 1\} \tag{31}$$

has the same cardinality as (30), because for each $E^{i\times i}_{j_1 j_2} \otimes I_{t/i}$, the $(j_1 t/i, j_2 t/i)$-th entry equals 1, and either $j_1 t/i = t$, or $j_2 t/i = t$, or the $(j_1 t/i + s, j_2 t/i + s)$-th entry equals 0 for each positive integer s.

Suppose there exist positive integers $i_1, j_1^1, j_2^1, i_2, j_1^2, j_2^2$ such that $1 \leq j_1^1, j_2^1 \leq i_1$, $1 \leq j_1^2, j_2^2 \leq i_2$, $j_1^1 \neq j_2^1$, $j_1^2 \neq j_2^2$, $\gcd(i_1, j_1^1, j_2^1) = \gcd(i_2, j_1^2, j_2^2) = 1$, $j_1^1 t/i_1 = j_1^2 t/i_2$, and $j_2^1 t/i_1 = j_2^2 t/i_2$, then $i_1 = i_2$, $j_1^1 = j_1^2$ and $j_2^1 = j_2^2$. This follows from the discussion below. i) Suppose $\gcd(i_1, j_1^1) = 1$ and $i_1 \neq i_2$, then $i_1 | i_2$, $i_2/i_1 =: t > 1$, and $\gcd(i_2, j_1^2, j_2^2) = \gcd(ti_1, tj_1^1, tj_2^1) = t > 1$, which is a contradiction. ii) Suppose $\gcd(i_1, j_1^1) > 1$, $i_1 \neq i_2$ and $\gcd(i_2, j_1^2) = 1$, then similarly $i_2 | i_1$, and $\gcd(i_1, j_1^1, j_2^1) = i_1/i_2 > 1$, which is also a contradiction. iii) Suppose $\gcd(i_1, j_1^1) =: t > 1$, $i_1 \neq i_2$ and $\gcd(i_2, j_1^2) =: s > 1$, then $\gcd(i_1/t, j_1^1/t) = \gcd(i_2/s, j_1^2/s) = 1$, $i_1/t = i_2/s$, $\gcd(t, s) | j_2^1$, $\gcd(t, s) | j_2^2$, $t \neq s$ (if $t = s$, then $i_1 = i_2$, a contradiction), $\gcd(i_1, j_1^1, j_2^1) \geq t/\gcd(t, s) > 1$ or $\gcd(i_2, j_1^2, j_2^2) \geq s/\gcd(t, s) > 1$, which is again a contradiction. Hence the set (31) has the same cardinality as the set (30), which completes the proof. □

3 Inner product for the quotient space

We have shown a basis for the quotient space $(\Sigma_\mu, \Vdash)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Next we endow the quotient space with an inner product which is a generalization of the conventional inner product of matrices. For $\mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$, the conventional inner product is defined as for all matrices $A = (a_{ij})_{m\times n}, B = (b_{ij})_{m\times n}$ both in $\mathcal{M}_{m\times n}$, $\langle A, B\rangle := \sum_{1\leq i\leq m, 1\leq j\leq n} a_{ij} b_{ij}$.

The inner product for $(\Sigma_\mu, \Vdash)$ is defined as for all $\langle A\rangle, \langle B\rangle \in \Sigma_\mu$, where $A \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu p\times p}, B \in \mathcal{M}_{\mu q\times q}$ are the unique irreducible elements of $\langle A\rangle, \langle B\rangle$, respectively,

$$\langle\langle A\rangle, \langle B\rangle\rangle := \left\langle A \otimes I_{t/(\mu p)}, B \otimes I_{t/(\mu q)}\right\rangle, \tag{32}$$

where $t = \operatorname{lcm}(\mu p, \mu q)$.

The norm induced by the inner product (32) is defined as for all $\langle A\rangle \in \Sigma_\mu$,

$$\| \langle A\rangle \| := \sqrt{\langle\langle A\rangle, \langle A\rangle\rangle}. \tag{33}$$

The metric induced by the norm (33) is defined as for all $\langle A\rangle, \langle B\rangle \in \Sigma_\mu$,

$$\mathrm{d}(\langle A\rangle, \langle B\rangle) := \| \langle A\rangle \vdash \langle B\rangle \|. \tag{34}$$

Next for the inner product space $(\Sigma_\mu, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, we give a Cauchy sequence that does not converge, showing that this inner product space is not a Hilbert space.

Defined a set of mappings $\delta_n : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ as for all $A = (a_{ij})_{p\times q} \in \mathcal{M}_{p\times q}$, $\delta_n(A) = (b_{ij})_{p\times q}$, where

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij}, & \text{if } a_{ij} \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^{n-1}}{\ln 2}}}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

Consider the following sequence

$$\langle A_1\rangle, \langle A_2\rangle, \cdots \in \Sigma_\mu, \tag{36}$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, all entries of $A_1 \in \mathcal{M}_\mu$ are nonzero, for all positive integers $n > 1$, $A_n = \delta_n(A_{n-1} \otimes I_2)$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, A_n is the unique irreducible element of $\langle A_n\rangle$.

For example, if $\mu = 1/2$ and

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & 2 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} \\ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & 1 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 2 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} \\ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 1 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 2 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} \\ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 1 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 2 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} \\ \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 1 & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{\ln 2}}} & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^2}{\ln 2}}} & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$\cdots$.

Proposition 16 *The sequence $\langle A_1\rangle, \langle A_2\rangle, \cdots \in \Sigma_\mu$ defined in (36) is a Cauchy sequence that does not converge.*

Proof Let A_1 be of size $p \times q$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, A_n is of size $(2^{n-1}p) \times (2^{n-1}q)$.

We first prove that the sequence (36) is a Cauchy sequence. For any positive integer n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}(\langle A_n\rangle, \langle A_{n+1}\rangle) &= \sqrt{2^{2n-1}pq\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^n}{\ln 2}}}\right)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{pq2^{2n-1-\frac{2^{n+1}}{\ln 2}}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{pq2^{-1-\frac{2}{\ln 2}-n^2\ln 2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $2^n \geq 1 + n\ln 2 + \frac{n^2}{2}(\ln 2)^2$ (the first three terms of its Taylor series). Denote $0 < \sqrt{2^{-\ln 2}} =: a < 1$. Then for any positive integers n, m, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{d}(\langle A_n\rangle, \langle A_{n+m}\rangle) \\ \leq &\mathrm{d}(\langle A_n\rangle, \langle A_{n+1}\rangle) + \mathrm{d}(\langle A_{n+1}\rangle, \langle A_{n+2}\rangle) + \cdots \\ &+ \mathrm{d}(\langle A_{n+m-1}\rangle, \langle A_{n+m}\rangle) \\ = &\sqrt{pq2^{-1-\frac{2}{\ln 2}}}\left(a^{n^2} + a^{(n+1)^2} + \cdots + a^{(n+m-1)^2}\right) \\ < &\sqrt{pq2^{-1-\frac{2}{\ln 2}}}\left(a^{n^2} + a^{n^2+1} + \cdots + a^{(n+m-1)^2}\right) \\ = &\sqrt{pq2^{-1-\frac{2}{\ln 2}}}\frac{a^{n^2}\left(1 - a^{(n+m-1)^2-n^2+1}\right)}{1-a} \\ \leq &\sqrt{pq2^{-1-\frac{2}{\ln 2}}}\frac{a^{n^2}}{1-a}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any sufficiently small positive real number ϵ, choose positive integer $N > \sqrt{\log_a\left(\frac{\epsilon(1-a)}{\sqrt{pq2^{-1-\frac{2}{\ln 2}}}}\right)} > 0$, we have for all positive integers $n, m > N$, $\mathrm{d}(\langle A_n\rangle, \langle A_m\rangle) < \epsilon$, i.e., the sequence (36) is a Cauchy sequence.

Second we prove that the sequence (36) does not converge in Σ_μ. For an arbitrarily given $\langle A_0\rangle \in \Sigma_\mu$, where A_0 is the unique irreducible element of $\langle A_0\rangle$, we claim that the sequence (36) does not converge to $\langle A_0\rangle$. We divide the proof of the claim into three cases. i) If $\langle A_0\rangle$ equals $\langle A_m\rangle$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then $A_0 = A_m$, and $\mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle, \langle A_n\rangle) > \mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle, \langle A_{n-1}\rangle) > \cdots > \mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle, \langle A_{m+1}\rangle) > \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2^m}{\ln 2}}}$ for any positive integer $n > m+1$, i.e., in this case the sequence (36) does not converge to $\langle A_0\rangle$. ii) If $\langle A_0\rangle$ does not equal $\langle A_m\rangle$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $A_0 = (a^0_{ij})_{(2^{n-1}p)\times(2^{n-1}q)}$ is of the same size as $A_n = (a^n_{ij})_{(2^{n-1}p)\times(2^{n-1}q)}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then there exist integers $1 \leq i' \leq 2^{n-1}p$ and $1 \leq j' \leq 2^{n-1}q$ such that $a^0_{i'j'} \neq a^n_{i'j'}$, and $\mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle, \langle A_r\rangle) > \mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle, \langle A_n\rangle) \geq |a^0_{i'j'} - a^n_{i'j'}| > 0$ for any positive integer $r > n$, i.e., the sequence (36) does not converge to $\langle A_0\rangle$ either. iii) If $\langle A_0\rangle$ does not equal

$\langle A_n\rangle$ for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and A_0 is not of the same size as A_n for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, let A_0 be of size $s\times t$, then $A_0\otimes I_{2^{n-1}p}$ and $A_n\otimes I_s$ are of the same size $(2^{n-1}sp)\times(2^{n-1}tp)$ and $A_0\otimes I_{2^{n-1}p}$ does not equal $A_n\otimes I_s$ for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. It is because if $A_0\otimes I_{2^{m-1}p}=A_m\otimes I_s$ for some $m\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, then $\langle A_0\rangle=\langle A_m\rangle$, which is a contradiction. For each $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, the number of nonzero entries of $A_n\otimes I_s$ is $2^{2n-2}pqs$, and the number of nonzero entries of $A_0\otimes I_{2^{n-1}p}$ is at most $2^{n-1}stp$. Hence when n is sufficiently large, $A_n\otimes I_s$ has much more nonzero entries than $A_0\otimes I_{2^{n-1}p}$ has. Chosen a sufficiently large n satisfying that for $A_n\otimes I_s=(b^n_{ij})_{(2^{n-1}sp)\times(2^{n-1}tp)}$ and $A_0\otimes I_{2^{n-1}p}=(b^0_{ij})_{(2^{n-1}sp)\times(2^{n-1}tp)}$, there exist $1\le i'\le 2^{n-1}sp$ and $1\le j'\le 2^{n-1}tp$ such that $b^n_{i'j'}\neq 0$ and $b^0_{i'j'}=0$, we then have $\mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle,\langle A_n\rangle)\ge|b^n_{i'j'}-b^0_{i'j'}|>0$. We furthermore have $\mathrm{d}(\langle A_0\rangle,\langle A_m\rangle)\ge|b^n_{i'j'}-b^0_{i'j'}|>0$ for any integer $m>n$, i.e., the sequence (36) does not converge to $\langle A_0\rangle$ either.

□

References

[1] D. Cheng. Structure of Matrices under Equivalence. http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.09523

[2] D. Cheng, Semi-tensor product of matrices and its application to Morgan's problem, *Science in China, Series F: Information Science*, Vol. 44, No. 3, 195-212, 2001.